CF1002

SINGLE BENCH (2) (4)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR CHHATTISGARH

W. P.(S). NO. 6838 /2006

PETITIONER : **Yashwant Kumar Verma**
S/o
D/o Deo Prasad Verma
Age about 50years,
Village- Parsada(Ghot) Post-Navagaon
Vya. -Nawapara Tahsil &
Distt. - Raipur (C.G.)

P.R. No. 6/10/06
Presented on 2-11-06
Advocate A. Keshari

VERSUS

RESPONDENT :

1. **State Govt. of Chhattisgarh**
Through Secretary
Educational Department
D.K.S. Bhawan, Distt.-Raipur (C.G.)
2. **District Education Officer**
Raipur,
District-Raipur (C.G.)
3. **Block Education Officer**
Abhanpur
District-Raipur (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
24/X/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

OATH COMMISSIONER
S.R. Kaushik
BILASPUR TAHSIL AREA
25/10/06

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/[redacted] OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-78

(22)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.(S) No. 6838/06 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

11.12.2006

Shri Jitendra Gupta, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Ajay Dwivedi, Panel Lawyer for the respondents/State.

The petitioner is working as Assistant Teacher in Government School. In the course of his service as Assistant Teacher/Upper Division Teacher, the petitioner has obtained B.Ed. certificate at his own expenses before 1.3.1999.

According to learned counsel for the petitioner, petitioner is entitled to two advance increments in view of the decision of this Court in the case of **Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj Vs. Municipal corporation, Durg and another** reported in **2006 (2) MPJR – CG 96**. This Court in the case of Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj Vs. Municipal Corporation, Durg and another (supra), came to the conclusion that the teachers, who had obtained B.Ed./B.T.I. Examination Certificate on their own expenses, are covered by the circular dated 24.12.1998 issued by the State Government of Madhya Pradesh.

Further in the matter of **Smt. Manorama Shrivastava Vs. State of M.P. and others** reported in **2000 (1) MPLSR 136**, the Madhya Pradesh Administrative Tribunal, Gwalior Bench while considering the circular dated 1.3.1999 wherein it is provided that the Assistant Teachers who have acquired B.Ed. degree at their own cost between 23.10.1964 and 7.5.1973 shall be entitled to two advance increments, relied on the decision in the case of Rakesh Kumar Shrivastava Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh and others passed in Original Application No. 1632/1999 decided on 12.10.1999 wherein it is observed that the circular dated 1.3.1999 cannot be made applicable retrospectively. Thus, a teacher may be Assistant Teacher, who has obtained B.Ed. degree before 1.3.1999 is entitled to two advanced

P.T.O.

XI-HC-78

(23)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

W.P. No. 6838/06

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

increments.

It is pointed out by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner that the then State of Madhya Pradesh had filed a Special Leave Petition against the orders passed by the Tribunal and the Hon'ble Supreme Court dismissed the Special Leave Petition No. 1999/2000 filed against the order of the tribunal. Therefore, the direction to the effect that the circular dated 1.3.1999 cannot be made applicable retrospectively, passed by the Tribunal, becomes final and the same shall be applicable to all the Assistant Teachers, who have obtained B.Ed./BTI certificates before 1.3.1999. It is further substantiated by a circular dated 17.10.2003 issued by the present State of Madhya Pradesh wherein the circular clearly states about the decision of the Hon'bie Supreme Court.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the facts and question of law involved in the present case of petitioner are squarely covered by the decision of this Court in the case of Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj (supra) to which learned counsel appearing for the respondent/State fairly concedes and agrees with the averments made by learned counsel appearing for the petitioner.

Accordingly, the writ petition is allowed in terms of the decision of this Court in the matter of Yashwant Kuamr Bharadwaj subject to verification of the fact as to whether the petitioner has acquired B.Ed. certificate at his own expenses as per the circular dated 24.12.1998 and 1.3.1999 and further whether he has been paid two advance increments or not. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Dhirendra Mishra
Judge

P.T.O.

Pathak/-
20/12/06